





#### ABOUT

PepinNini Lithium Limited is a diversified ASX listed Exploration Company focused on exploring and developing a lithium brine resource and production project in Salta Province Argentina within the Lithium Triangle of South America. The Company also holds strategically located exploration tenements in the Musgrave Province of South Australia. The company also holds a copper-gold exploration project in Salta Province, Argentina

#### DIRECTORS

Rebecca Holland-Kennedy Managing Director Sarah Clifton-Brown Finance Director Philip Clifford Non-Executive Director Robert WeiSun Non-Executive Director Justin Nelson Company Secretary

CONTACT PepinNini Lithium Limited ABN 55 101 714 989

Level 1, 6/68 North Terrace Kent Town SA 5067 TEL:+61 (0)8 8218 5000 FAX:+61 (0)8 8212 5717 EMAIL: admin@pepinnini.com.au

FURTHER INFORMATION Ms Rebecca Holland-Kennedy Managing Director TEL: +61 (0)8 8218 5000 www.pepinnini.com.au



ASX RELEASE


26 March 2018

ASX:PNN

# Salta Lithium Project Exploration Update

The Directors of PepinNini Lithium Ltd (PNN, the Company) report two boreholes have been completed on the Company's **Pular Project**. Borehole PNN-SU-DW-01 was drilled up to 308.5 metres. The borehole was located close to the edge of the salar to eliminate site preparation costs, test for the presence of lithium brine and clarify subsurface geology.





A second borehole PNN-SU-DW-02 has been drilled to 341.5m and packer testing every 20 metres for brine samples is underway. This borehole is targeting lithium brine grade and subsurface hydrogeology of the salar.




Figure 1 - Pular Salar Borehole Locations and Surface Samples(ASX:16-12-16)

Page 2

Borehole PNN-SU-DW-01 encountered two main rock types from surface to 202metres; unconsolidated black sand with minor clay layers and from 202 to 308.5metres; an unconsolidated sedimentary breccia. The borehole recorded brine from surface to the base of the hole with an artesian flowing aquifer at 60metres. Weather conditions of heavy rain combined with the increased flow from the artesian aquifer caused borehole stability issues and the borehole was abandoned with trapped drilling rods precluding the establishment of a monitoring well. Brine samples were retrieved from the borehole.



Photo 2 - Brine sampling with packers borehole PNN-SU-DW-01


A causeway has been constructed for the drilling of a third borehole PNN-PA-DW-03 expected to commence in the next week. This borehole is located in the recently acquired exploration option mining lease Patilla from Lithea Inc(ASX: 22-02-18). Drilling, depending on conditions is expected to be completed in April and all samples will be sent for analysis to Buenos Aires so results are expected in May.



Photo 3 - Causeway constructed for the drilling of PNN-PA-DW-03



#### ASX:PNN



This announcement on the Salta Lithium project has been prepared with information compiled by Marcela Casini, MAusIMM. Marcela Casini is the Exploration Manager-Argentina of PepinNini Lithium Limited and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Marcela Casini consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on her information in the form and context in which it appears.

### **JORC Table 1**



## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representability and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Liquid samples were collected using borehole packers at various intervals over 2.4 and 2metres thickness</li> <li>Borehole fluid density, conductivity, temperature and Ph were recorded at time of sampling</li> <li>During the packer test, several 250l drums are filled with borehole fluid If a single drum is not filled in 30 minutes, the formation interval being tested is considered dry, in that case it is considered that the fluid is only that within the drilling barrel and so is not representative of the formation at that depth.</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Diamond core drilling – HQ3 diameter drilled vertically, triple tube</li> <li>Rotary drilling with rock chips – tricone drilling bit</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The boreholes were drilled to 308.5m and 341.5. Core samples not being reported</li> <li>Cores will be retained for geotechnical testing of porosity, grainsize and density</li> <li>To collect a representative sample the borehole must be cleaned taking out the amount of brine that represents 200 to 250% of the borehole volume capacity at any given depth</li> <li>Liquid samples were collected from 308.5m to 100m using the double packer for Borehole PNN-SU-DW-01. Sampling for Borehole PNN-SU-DW-02 has not been completed.</li> <li>Shallower than 100m, the packer was not effective, due to artesian aquifer pressure flow.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Logging	<ul> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Core is geologically logged for lithology and photographed,</li> <li>Borehole PNN-SU-DW-01 was not geophysically logged due to trapped drilling rods – Borehole PNN-SU-DW-02 will be geophysically logged for density, gamma and resisitivity when sampling has been completed.</li> <li>Field parameters are measured for brine samples. These include density, temperature, PH and conductivity. These are included in the bore hole descriptive log.</li> <li>The boreholes were drilled to 308.5m and 341.5m</li> </ul>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representativity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The borehole must be cleaned before sampling can commence</li> <li>30% of the stream of samples sent to the laboratory are blind samples intended to control the quality and assurance of the collected samples</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	Samples are not being reported .
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A Competent person(CP) is used for oversight verification of sampling techniques, laboratory verification and reporting review</li> </ul>
Location of data points	<ul> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and downhole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Geographic positioning control for borehole location using both latitude and longitude and Gauss Kruger POSGAR (WGS-84)</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Data spacing and distribution	<ul> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	Samples are not being reported
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	Boreholes drilled vertically to intersect salar horizontal layering
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Samples are not being reported
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	• Data collection, processing and analysis protocols aligned with industry best practice.

## **Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results**

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mina Sulfa 1File Number 19188, Held 100% by PepinNini SA an Argentina entity wholly owned by PepinNini Lithium Ltd.</li> <li>PepinNini SA an Argentina entity wholly owned by PepinNini Lithium Ltd hold an exploration option for up to 18months for Mina Patilla File Number 20414 as per (ASX:22 Feb 2018)</li> </ul>
	area.	Held under grant and registration from Mining Court of Salta Province, Argentina Tenure (Mina) held in perpetuity and appropriately maintained.
Exploration done by other	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Exploration carried out by Lithea Inc in
parties		TECHNICAL REPORT, SALAR DE PULAR, SALTA, ARGENTINA
		PREPARED BY EKEKO S.A. BY DR. RICARDO N. ALONSO( MAusIMM), University of Salta and GEÓL. WALTER R. ROJAS - AUGUST, 2011

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	<ul> <li>PepinNini is primarily exploring for brine aquifers in salars (dried salt lakes) and the geological setting is suitable for lithium bearing brines in commercial quantities.</li> <li>Brine aquifers are indicated by high conductivity/low resistivity responses considered prospective for lithium brine</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	<ul> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Borehole PNN-SU-DW-01</li> <li>Borehole coordinates: GK Posgar Zone 2 North: 7312929.3, East: 2606831.3, 3473 masl I</li> <li>Start drilling date: 19 Jan 2018</li> <li>Finish drilling date: 21 Feb 2018</li> <li>Total Depth: 308.5 meters</li> <li>Drilling Methodology: Diamond Drilling &amp; Rotary drilling</li> <li>Drilling Company: Hidrotec</li> <li>Rig: HT06LF90</li> <li>Borehole PNN-SU-DW-02</li> <li>Borehole coordinates: GK Posgar Zone 2 North: 7313779, East: 2606853, 3473 masl</li> <li>Start drilling date: 28 Feb 2018</li> <li>Finish drilling date: incomplete</li> <li>Total Depth: 341.5metres</li> <li>Drilling Methodology: Diamond Drilling &amp; Rotary drilling</li> <li>Drilling Methodology: Diamond Drilling &amp; Rotary drilling</li> </ul>
Data aggregation methods	<ul> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No data aggregation used,</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Boreholes drilled vertically and core reported as true depths and intersection lengths, salar lithological units are horizontal</li> </ul>
Diagrams	<ul> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Borehole location plan provided in Figure 1</li> </ul>
Balanced reporting	<ul> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>sample results not being reported.</li> </ul>
Other substantive exploration data	<ul> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The grid system used is Argentina Gauss_Kruger POSGAR (WGS-84) zone 2.</li> </ul>
Further work	<ul> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Brine will be sampled and analysed for chemical makeup, borehole PNN-SU-DW-02 will been converted to a piezometer well for observation and pumping tests will be carried out to provide information on the hydrogeologic properties of the aquifers and potential extractability of brines.</li> </ul>